

## **Ombudsman's Determination**

Applicant Miss T

Scheme Aviva (the Scheme)

Respondent Versant Developments & Homes Ltd (the Employer)

#### Outcome

Miss T's complaint is upheld and, to put matters right, the Employer shall pay the
missing contributions in respect of her pension and make good any shortfall in units.
In addition, the Employer shall pay Miss T £1,000 for the serious distress and
inconvenience it has caused her.

## **Complaint summary**

- 2. Miss T has complained that the Employer, despite deducting contributions from her pay, has failed to pay contributions into the Scheme.
- 3. Miss T has said that the missing contributions amounted to £1,434.53.

## Background information, including submissions from the parties

- 4. In January 2017, Miss T began her employment with the Employer.
- 5. Miss T provided copies of the payslips that she held for the period from March 2019 to August 2019 which detailed the pension contributions deducted from her pay and the corresponding employer contributions. She said that these contributions were never remitted to the Scheme. These deductions amounted to £1,434.53. A breakdown of the deductions has been included in the Appendix.
- 6. On 3 December 2020, The Pension Ombudsman (**TPO**) asked the Employer for its formal response to Miss T's complaint. This request was repeated on 6 January 2021. Neither of these requests received a response.
- 7. On 2 August 2022 and 16 August 2022, TPO chased the Employer again. But the Employer failed to respond.

## Caseworker's Opinion

- 8. Miss T's complaint was considered by one of our Caseworkers who concluded that further action was required by the Employer as it had failed to remit the contributions that were due to the Scheme. The Caseworker's findings are summarised below:-
  - The Caseworker stated that TPO's normal approach, in cases such as these, was
    to seek agreement from all parties on the facts of the complaint, including the
    dates and amounts of contributions involved. She said that, as the Employer had
    not responded to any of TPO's communications, she had to base her Opinion
    solely on the information provided by Miss T.
  - The Caseworker said that she had no reason to doubt the information provided by Miss T. So, in the Caseworker's Opinion, on the balance of probabilities, contributions had been deducted from Miss T's salary, that had not been paid into the Scheme. In addition, the Employer had not paid any of the employer contributions that were due over the same period. As a result of its maladministration, Miss T was not in the financial position she ought to be in.
  - In the Caseworker's view, Miss T had suffered serious distress and inconvenience due to the Employer's maladministration. The Caseworker was of the view that an award of £1,000 for non-financial injustice was appropriate in the circumstances.
- 9. The Employer did not respond to the Caseworker's Opinion and the complaint was passed to me to consider. I agree with the Caseworker's Opinion.

#### Ombudsman's decision

- Miss T has complained that the Employer has not paid all the contributions due to his Scheme account.
- 11. The available evidence supports the view that employee contributions were deducted but held back by the Employer and not paid into the Scheme. The Employer failed to rectify this and did not engage with either my Office or Miss T. It has also failed to respond to the Caseworker's Opinion.
- 12. The Employer's failure to pay employee and employer contributions into the Scheme amounts to unjust enrichment and has caused Miss T to suffer a financial loss. The Employer shall take remedial action to put this right.
- 13. Miss T is entitled to a distress and inconvenience award in respect of the serious ongoing non-financial injustice which she has suffered. This was exacerbated by its failure to respond during my Office's investigation into Miss T's complaint.

#### **Directions**

- 14. To put matters right, the Employer shall, within 28 days of the date of this Determination:
  - (i) pay Miss T £1,000 for the serious distress and inconvenience she has experienced;
  - (ii) produce a schedule (**the Schedule**) showing the employee contributions deducted from Miss T's pay in respect of the period of her employment. The Schedule shall also include the corresponding employer contributions that were due to the Scheme; and
  - (iii) forward the Schedule to Miss T.
- 15. The Employer shall, within 14 days of receiving a request by Miss T, provide her with any reasonable additional information, in order for her to be able to check the details in the Schedule.
- 16. Within 14 days of receiving confirmation from Miss T that she agrees with the information on the Schedule, the Employer shall:
  - (i) pay the missing contributions to the Scheme;
  - (ii) establish with the Scheme whether the late payment of contributions has meant that fewer units were purchased in Miss T's Scheme account than she would have otherwise secured, had the contributions been paid on time; and
  - (iii) pay any reasonable administration fee should the Scheme administrator charge a fee for carrying out the above calculation.
- 17. Within 14 days of receiving confirmation from the Scheme administrator of any shortfall in Miss T's units, pay the cost of purchasing any additional units required to make up the shortfall.

#### **Anthony Arter**

Pensions Ombudsman 18 October 2022

## CAS-49641-D3V7

# **Appendix**

| Employee contributions | Employer contributions                              |
|------------------------|---|
| £145.84                | £87.51  |
| £143.26                | £87.51  |
| £182.85                | £87.51  |
| £145.84                | £87.51  |
| £145.84                | £87.51  |
| £145.84                | £87.51  |
| £909.47                | £525.06   |
|                        | £145.84<br>£143.26<br>£182.85<br>£145.84<br>£145.84 |

Total Employee and Employer Contributions: £1,434.53