

Ombudsman's Determination

Applicant	Mr N
Scheme	NEST (the Scheme)
Respondent	Car Dealer Media Limited (the Employer)

Outcome

1. Mr N's complaint is upheld and, to put matters right, the Employer shall pay the missing contributions in respect of his pension and make good any shortfall in units. In addition, the Employer shall pay Mr N £1,000 for the serious distress and inconvenience it has caused him.

Complaint summary

2. Mr N has complained that the Employer, despite deducting contributions from his pay, has failed to pay into the Scheme.
3. Mr N has said that the missing contributions amounted to £3,268.59.

Background information, including submissions from the parties

4. In November 2018, Mr N began his employment with the Employer.
5. On 10 January 2022, Mr N brought his complaint to The Pensions Ombudsman (TPO).
6. Mr N said that the Employer failed to pay contributions into the Scheme between July 2020 and November 2021. Mr N provided copies of the payslips that he held for the period from January 2021 to August 2021, which detailed the pension contributions deducted from his pay and the corresponding employer contributions. A breakdown of the contributions has been included in the Appendix.
7. Mr N has told TPO that he is unable to provide more payslips as he did not receive all of his payslips from the Employer.
8. On 24 June 2022, TPO asked the Employer for its formal response to Mr N's complaint. This request was repeated on 15 July 2022. Neither of these requests received a response.

9. In August 2022, TPO chased the Employer again. But the Employer failed to respond by the deadline.

Caseworker's Opinion

10. Mr N's complaint was considered by one of our Caseworkers who concluded that further action was required by the Employer as it had failed to remit the contributions that were due to the Scheme. The Caseworker's findings are summarised below:-
- The Caseworker stated that TPO's normal approach, in cases such as these, was to seek agreement from all parties on the facts of the complaint, including the dates and amounts of contributions involved. She said that, as the Employer had not responded to any of TPO's communications, she had to base her Opinion solely on the information provided by Mr N.
 - The Caseworker said that she had no reason to doubt the information provided by Mr N. So, in the Caseworker's Opinion, on the balance of probabilities, contributions had been deducted from Mr N's salary, that had not been paid into the Scheme. In addition, the Employer had not paid any of the employer contributions that were due over the same period. As a result of its maladministration, Mr N was not in the financial position he ought to be in.
 - In the Caseworker's view, Mr N had suffered significant distress and inconvenience due to the Employer's maladministration. The Caseworker was of the view that an award of £500 for non-financial injustice was appropriate in the circumstances.
11. The Employer did not respond to the Caseworker's Opinion and the complaint was passed to me to consider. I agree with the Caseworker's Opinion, except the level of award for maladministration.

Ombudsman's decision

12. Mr N has complained that the Employer has not paid all the contributions due to his Scheme account.
13. The available evidence supports the view that employee contributions were deducted but held back by the Employer and not paid into the Scheme. The Employer failed to rectify this and did not engage with either my Office or Mr N. It has also failed to respond to the Caseworker's Opinion.
14. The Employer's failure to pay employee and employer contributions into the Scheme amounts to unjust enrichment and has caused Mr N to suffer a financial loss. The Employer shall take remedial action to put this right.

15. Mr N is entitled to a distress and inconvenience award in respect of the serious ongoing non-financial injustice which he has suffered. This was exacerbated by its failure to respond during my Office's investigation into Mr N's complaint.

Directions

16. To put matters right, the Employer shall, within 28 days of the date of this Determination:
- (i) pay Mr N £1,000 for the serious distress and inconvenience he has experienced;
 - (ii) produce a schedule (**the Schedule**) showing the employee contributions deducted from Mr N's pay in respect of the period of his employment. The Schedule shall also include the corresponding employer contributions that were due to the Scheme; and
 - (iii) forward the Schedule to Mr N.
17. The Employer shall, within 14 days of receiving a request by Mr N, provide him with any reasonable additional information, in order for him to be able to check the details in the Schedule.
18. Within 14 days of receiving confirmation from Mr N that he agrees with the information on the Schedule, the Employer shall:
- (i) pay the missing contributions to the Scheme;
 - (ii) establish with the Scheme whether the late payment of contributions has meant that fewer units were purchased in Mr N's Scheme account than he would have otherwise secured, had the contributions been paid on time; and
 - (iii) pay any reasonable administration fee should NEST charge a fee for carrying out the above calculation.
19. Within 14 days of receiving confirmation from NEST of any shortfall in Mr N's units, pay the cost of purchasing any additional units required to make up the shortfall.

Anthony Arter

Pensions Ombudsman
16 November 2022

Appendix

Date	Employee contributions	Employer contributions
31/08/2021	£109.87	£82.40
31/07/2021	£109.87	£82.40
30/06/2021	£109.87	£82.40
31/05/2021	£109.87	£82.40
30/04/2021	£109.87	£82.40
31/03/2021	£109.87	£82.40
28/02/2021	£109.87	£82.40
31/01/2021	£109.87	£82.40